



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,781	01/14/2004	Yun Zhang	105297-1033	3117
7590 Eugene F. Derenyi Stikeman Elliott 1600-50 O'Connor Street Ottawa, ON K1P 6L2 CANADA		07/26/2007	EXAMINER SHIKHMAN, MAX	
			ART UNIT 2624	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/26/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/756,781

Applicant(s)

ZHANG, YUN

Examiner

Max Shikhman

Art Unit

2624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jingge WU, SPE.

(3) Eugene Derenyi, applicant's representative.

(2) Max Shikhman, Examiner.

(4) Yun Zhang, applicant.

Date of Interview: 12 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4 and 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hatlestad (US-PAT-NO: 5661817).

Burky (PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20040075667).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendment to Claims 1,4,6. Proposed amendment does not seem to be allowable, according to examiner. Derenyi argued references cannot reject proposed amended Claims 1,4,6; Hatlestad reference does not improve on a natural color image, and Burky (PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20040075667) uses a palette. Examiner will conduct further search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Max Shikhman
Examiner's signature, if required